1	ROBERT W. FREEMAN		
_	Nevada Bar No. 003062		
2	DANIELLE C. MILLER Nevada Bar No. 009127		
3	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP		
	6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 702.893.3383		
5	FAX: 702.893.3789		
	Attorneys for Defendant		
6	Clark County		
7			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEWARA		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
	***		
10	JOHN LIGE,	CASE NO. 2:16-cv-0603-JAD-PAL	
11	JOHN LIGE,	CASE NO. 2.10-CV-0003-JAD-1 AL	
	Plaintiff,	CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT	
12	vs.	AND PROTECTIVE ORDER	
13	vs.		
	CLARK COUNTY, a political subdivision of		
14	the State of Nevada; Service Employees International Union, Local 1107, labor union,		
15	DOES I-V; and ROES VI-X,		
	,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
	Discontinuo del 11	1 C 15 MW 1 5 14	
18	Plaintiff John Lige, by and through his counsel of record Dan M. Winder, Esq. and A.		
19	Weinstock, Esq., Defendant Clark County, by and through its attorney, Robert W. Freeman,		
20	and Defendant Service Employees International Union, Local 1107, by and through its attor		
21	Michael A. Urban, Esq., hereby agree and stipulate as follows:		
22	1. The parties enter into this Stipul	ation and Protective Order under Federal Rul	
44	1. The parties effect into this buput	anon and receive order under redefal Kul	

- nold Esq., ney,
- le of Civil Procedure 26(c) to establish procedures for the handling of documents produced by the parties in response to discovery requests.
- 2. Any party may designate and mark certain documents produced in response to discovery requests as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER." The party designating such documents shall be referred to as the Designating Party and any party in receipt of such documents shall be referred to as the Receiving Party. Documents so marked may be used



24

25

27

28

only for purposes of this litigation.

- 3. Except as otherwise ordered by this Court, documents marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" and the contents of documents so marked may be disclosed only to employees or agents of the following persons:
  - (a) counsel of record for Plaintiff and Plaintiff;
  - (b) counsel of record for Defendant and Defendant;
  - (c) counsel for Defendant Service Employees International Union, Local 1107 and Defendant Service Employees International Union, Local 1107;
  - (d) the non-technical and clerical staff employed by counsel of record;
- (e) interpreters and copying services employed by counsel of record's employer to the extent reasonably necessary to render professional services in this case;
  - (f) any private court reporter retained by counsel for depositions in this case;
- (g) subject to the terms of paragraph 5, persons retained by counsel to serve as expert witnesses or consultants in this case; and
- (h) personnel of the Court, including court reporters, officials and employees of the Clerk of Court, and staff of the presiding United States District Judge and United States Magistrate Judge, to the extent deemed necessary by the Court.
- 4. If counsel for a Receiving Party determines that it is necessary to disclose any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" to any persons other than the individuals included in paragraph 3, that counsel shall set forth the grounds for the disclosure and seek the written consent of counsel for the Designating Party. The Designating Party shall respond to the Receiving Party's request within seven calendar days unless the Receiving Party agrees to a longer period. If counsel for the Designating Party does not consent, counsel for the Receiving Party and counsel for the Designating Party shall within five court days of the Designating Party's response meet and confer in person or telephonically regarding the issue, during which meeting and conference counsel for the Receiving Party shall specify the reasons why disclosure is necessary. If any agreement is not reached, the Designating Party shall move the Court within the ten calendar days of the meeting and conference for a

protective order preventing disclosure. The Receiving Party shall not disclose the document unless the Designating Party has failed to file a motion within the time allowed or the Court has denied the motion.

- 5. If counsel for the Receiving Party determines that it is necessary to disclose any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" to an expert or consultants retained to render professional services in this case, that counsel shall notify counsel for the Designating Party in writing at least seven days before the proposed disclosure with the name of the expert or consultant. The Designating Party shall respond to the Receiving Party's notification within seven calendar days unless the Receiving Party agrees to a longer period. If counsel for the Designating Party objects, counsel for the Receiving Party and counsel for the Designating Party shall within five court days of the Designating Party's response meet and confer in person or telephonically regarding the issue. If an agreement is not reached, the Designating Party shall move the court within ten calendar days of the meeting and conference for a protective order preventing disclosure. The Receiving Party shall not disclose the document unless the Designating Party has failed to file a motion within the time allowed or the Court denies the motion.
- 6. Any party may object to the propriety of the designation of documents as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" by objecting and setting forth in writing the grounds for the objection. The Designating Party shall respond to the Receiving Party's objection within seven calendar days unless the Receiving Party agrees to a longer period. If an agreement is not reached, counsel for the Receiving Party and counsel for the Designating Party shall within five court days of the Designating Party's response meet and confer in person or telephonically, during which meeting and conference counsel for the Receiving Party shall specify the grounds for objection with respect to each document at issue. If the parties cannot agree, then the Designating Party will then have ten calendar days after the conference of counsel to file a motion to preserve the confidentiality designation. The burden of proof to demonstrate confidential treatment of any information at all times remain with the Designating Party. The parties shall treat the documents as the subject to this Stipulation and Order unless the Designating

LEWIS
BRISBOIS
BISGAARD
& SMITHLLP

Party has failed to file a motion within the time allowed or the Court has denied the motion.

7. Before disclosing any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" to any person identified in subparagraph (c) of paragraph 3, counsel of record for the Receiving Party shall advise that person of the terms of this Stipulation and Protective Order and that he or she is bound by those terms. In addition, before disclosing any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" to any person identified in subparagraphs (d), (e), or (f) of paragraph 3, counsel for the Receiving Party shall ensure that the person (1) has read and agrees to the terms of this Protective Order and (2) has acknowledged his or her agreement by signing a copy of the attached Acknowledgment before any such document is disclosed to him or her:

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I have read the Stipulation and Protective Order Governing Documents Produced by the Parties in this case. I understand its terms and agree to be bound by the terms of the Protective Order. I understand that my duties under the Protective Order will survive the termination of this case and that failure to comply with its terms may result in the District Court imposing sanctions on me. I consent to personal jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada for the purpose of enforcing the Protective Order.

- 8. Counsel for each party shall retain copies of the Acknowledgment forms executed by persons authorized for access on behalf of that party until this litigation, including all appeals, concludes. Nothing in this Protective Order restricts the Designation Party's own disclosure of documents marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER."
- 9. Any person receiving access to a document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" shall maintain the document, any copies of the document, and any information derived from the document in a confidential manner and shall take steps to avoid disclosure to persons not authorized under this Order to have access to the documents or information.
- 10. Within thirty days of the conclusion of this litigation, including all appeals, counsel for the Receiving Party shall destroy or send to counsel for the Designating Party all copies of

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW documents marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER."

Notwithstanding this paragraph, however, the parties' attorneys may retain one copy of each document filed with the Court that contains or refers to any of the designated documents. Furthermore, nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to require the parties' attorneys to disclose any attorney work-product to opposing counsel.

- 11. In connection with a deposition in this case, a witness or any counsel may indicate that a question or answer refers to the content of a document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER." If the indication occurs on the record during the deposition, all persons not authorized to review such documents shall leave the deposition room until completion of the answers referring to the document and the reporter shall mark the transcript of the designated testimony "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER."
- 12. If any party wishes to submit into the written record of this case any document marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER" or excerpts from any such document, that party shall seek to submit the document under seal.
- 13. Papers filed with the Court under seal shall be accompanied by a motion for leave to file those documents under seal addressing the specific reasons for filing these documents under seal pursuant to *Kamakana v. City of Cnty. Of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006), and shall be filed in accordance with the Court's electronic filing procedures. If papers are filed under seal pursuant to prior Court order, the papers shall bear the following notation on the first page, directly under the case number: "FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER DATED \_\_\_\_\_\_." All papers filed under seal will remain sealed until such time as the Court may deny the motion to seal or enter an order to unseal them, or the documents are unsealed pursuant to Local Rule.
- 14. Nothing in this Stipulation and Protective Order prevents any party from challenging any assertion of privilege by any party, and nothing in this Stipulation and Protective Order constitutes a waiver of any assertion of privilege by any party or precludes any party from moving for consideration of information ex parte and in camera.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LIP

4816-9625-8098.1

///

## Case 2:16-cv-00603-JAD-PAL Document 45 Filed 07/01/16 Page 6 of 6

1	15. Anyone found to be in violation of this Order may have sanctions imposed against		
2	him or her as the Court may determine and allowable under law and may also be subject to		
3	contempt of court proceedings.		
4	DATED this <u>27<sup>th</sup></u> day of June, 2016.	DATED this <u>27<sup>th</sup></u> day of June, 2016.	
5	LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH	LAW OFFICE OF DAN M. WINDER, P.C.	
6	/s/ Robert W. Freeman	<u>/s/ Scott C. Porman</u>	
7	Robert W. Freeman, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 003062	Dan M. Winder, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 001569	
8	Danielle C. Miller. Esq.	Arnold Weinstock, Esq.	
9	Nevada Bar No. 009127 6385 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite 600	Nevada Bar No. 000810 Scott C. Dorman, Esq.	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89118	Nevada Bar No. 013108	
10	Attorney for Defendant Clark County	Matthew J. Peirce, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 006449	
11		3507 E. Charleston Boulevard	
12		Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
13		Auomeys joi 1 iumigj	
14	DATED this <u>27<sup>th</sup></u> day of June, 2016.		
15	THE URBAN LAW FIRM		
16	<u>/s/ Scan W. McDonald</u>		
17	Michael A. Urban, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 003875		
18	Sean W. McDonald, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 012817		
19	4270 S. Decatur Blvd., Suite A-9		
20	Las Vegas, Nevada 89103 Attorney for Defendant SEIU		
21			
22	<u>ORDER</u>		
	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23	DATED this 1st day of July, 2016.		
24			
25	Jugar a. Feen		
26	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
27			
28			

6

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW